

Peter Stutheit, OSB No. 061248  
Email: peter@stutheikalin.com  
Kyann Kalin, OSB No. 060754  
Email: kyann@stutheitkalin.com  
Maria Witt, OSB No. 145573  
Email: maria.witt@stutheitkalin.com  
**STUTHEIT KALIN LLC**  
1 SW Columbia, Suite 1850  
Portland, OR 97258  
Phone: (503) 493-7488  
Fax: (503) 715-5670

Jason Rittereiser, OSB No. 211298  
Email: jrittereiser@hkm.com  
**HKM EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS LLP**  
600 Stewart Street, Suite 901  
Seattle, WA 98101  
Phone: (206) 838-2504  
Fax: (206) 260-3055

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF OREGON**  
**EUGENE DIVISION**

TRACY DAVIES and ASHLEY DEWITT,  
individually and on behalf of all others similarly  
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PEACEHEALTH,

Defendant.

No. 6:21-cv-00825-AA

**THIRD AMENDED CLASS  
ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiffs Tracy Davies and Ashley DeWitt bring this action on their own behalf and as representatives of a class for unpaid wages due to Defendant PeaceHealth's unlawful time clock rounding.

**PARTIES**

1.  
1.  
2.

3 Plaintiff Ashley DeWitt is an individual and is and was at all relevant times a resident of  
4 the State of Oregon. Ms. DeWitt worked at PeaceHealth's Riverbend hospital as an hourly, non-  
5 exempt employee until 2017. Plaintiff Tracy Davies is an individual and is and was at all  
6 relevant times a resident of the State of Oregon. Ms. Davies worked at PeaceHealth's Riverbend  
7 and Eugene hospitals as an hourly, non-exempt employee until approximately November 2018.

2.  
8.

9 Defendant PeaceHealth is a non-profit corporation engaged broadly in the healthcare  
10 industry. PeaceHealth operates numerous hospitals, clinics, and other health care facilities in  
11 Oregon, including in Lane County. Patients pay PeaceHealth money for the health care services  
12 PeaceHealth provides.

**FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

3.  
14.

15 Under Oregon law, PeaceHealth is required to: (1) track the number of hours its hourly  
16 employees work; (2) pay its hourly employees for the number of hours they work; and (3) keep  
17 accurate records thereof. PeaceHealth tracks the number of hours its hourly, non-exempt  
18 employees work ("hourly employees"), including those worked by Plaintiffs, with an electronic  
19 time-keeping system called Kronos.

4.  
21.

22 PeaceHealth pays its hourly employees, including Plaintiffs, according to Kronos time-  
23 keeping system data. PeaceHealth requires its hourly employees to use the time-keeping system  
24 to punch in at the start of their shift and punch out at the end of their shift. PeaceHealth's time-  
25

1 keeping system records and stores the times hourly employees (including Plaintiffs), actually  
2 punch in and out (“actual punch times”).

3 5.

4 PeaceHealth’s time keeping system records and stores actual punch times, and  
5 PeaceHealth could pay its hourly employees for all hours captured using those actual punch  
6 times. Instead, PeaceHealth pays its hourly employees, including Plaintiffs, to the nearest  
7 quarter-hour in accordance with PeaceHealth’s rounding policy, which is applicable to all hourly  
8 employees in Oregon.

9 6.

10 Under the policy, paid time is rounded in 7-minute increments. Employees are authorized  
11 to clock in up to 7 minutes early for their shift, and to clock out up to 7 minutes after the  
12 scheduled end of their shifts. As an example, were an employee to clock in at 11:53 for a shift  
13 scheduled to begin at 12:00, the time keeping system would report the employee’s start time as  
14 12:00 and pay the employee accordingly – denying the employee pay for the first 7 minutes the  
15 employee is clocked in. Were that same employee then to clock out at 6:07, the time keeping  
16 system would report the employee’s end time as 6:00 – denying the employee pay (again) for the  
17 last seven minutes that she was on the clock.

18 7.

19 While the time keeping system, in theory, can also round employee time in favor of the  
20 employee, such as where an employee clocks in up to seven minutes after her scheduled start  
21 time, or clocks out up to seven minutes before her scheduled end time, the overall effect of the  
22 rounding policy, over time, is to deny hourly employees pay for all compensable hours.  
23  
24  
25

1 8.

2 That is because as a matter of institutional reality hourly employees often punch in  
3 between 1 and 7 minutes early for work, and often punch out between 1 and 7 minutes after their  
4 shifts are scheduled to end, when the rounding policy favors PeaceHealth. As a result, a  
5 substantial majority of employees are clocked in to the timekeeping system for more time than  
6 they are paid under the rounding policy.

7 9.

8 PeaceHealth, through attendance, tardy, timekeeping, and and budgetary policies and  
9 practices, discourages employees from (a) clocking in more than 7 minutes early for their shift;  
10 (b) clocking in between 1 and 7 minutes late for their shift; and (3) clocking out more than 7  
11 minutes late from their shift.

12 10.

13 Over time, and in aggregate, the rounding policy favors PeaceHealth. Upon information  
14 and belief, during the time period beginning six years prior to the filing of this complaint and  
15 continuing to the present, the majority of PeaceHealth hourly employees in Oregon whose time  
16 punches were rounded according to the policy described above ha had a negative rounding  
17 differential. That is to say, they were punched into the time keeping system for more time than  
18 that for which they were paid under the rounding rules. The cumulative effect of PeaceHealth's  
19 common policies and procedures is that Plaintiffs and hourly employees, over time, are  
20 consistently and systematically deprived of pay for all straight time and overtime hours they  
21 actually work.

22 11.

23 PeaceHealth knows that it rounds all hourly time punches to the nearest 15-minutes and  
24 intends to do so. Because PeaceHealth's Kronos system records actual punch times, PeaceHealth  
25

1 has actual, constructive and/or imputed knowledge that hourly employees are punched into the  
2 timekeeping system for more hours than they are actually paid under the rounding policy.

3 Plaintiffs gave notice of their intent to bring the claims asserted herein via certified letter that  
4 PeaceHealth received on or about February 8, 2021.

5  
6 12.

7 Plaintiffs bring this action on their own behalf, as well as on behalf of all other similarly  
8 situated employees.

9 Plaintiffs' proposed class is defined as follows:

10 All hourly, non-exempt PeaceHealth employees who worked in the State of  
11 Oregon at any time within the period beginning April 20, 2015 to the date of  
12 entry of judgment.

13 All of the members of the class are collectively referred to as "Class Members."

14  
15 13.

16 Plaintiffs' claims meet the requirements for certification. There is a well-defined  
17 community of interest in the litigation and the Class Members are readily ascertainable.

18 a. Numerosity: The Class is so numerous that joinder of all Class Members  
19 is infeasible and impractical. The membership of the class is unknown to Plaintiffs at this  
20 time. However, based on Plaintiffs' investigation, and on information and belief, the  
21 number of Class Members is reasonably estimated to exceed 5,000. The identity of Class  
22 Members is readily ascertainable from PeaceHealth's employment records.

23 b. Typicality: Plaintiffs' claims are typical of those of the other Class  
24 Members because:

25 i. Plaintiffs are members of the class.

- 1                   ii. Plaintiffs' claims stem from the same practice or course of conduct  
2                   that forms the basis of the class claims.
- 3                   iii. All of the Class Members' claims are based on the same facts and  
4                   legal theories.
- 5                   iv. There is no antagonism between Plaintiffs' interests and the Class  
6                   Members, because their claims are for damages provided to each  
7                   individual employee by statute.
- 8                   v. The injuries that Plaintiffs suffered are similar to the injuries that the  
9                   Class Members suffered and continue to suffer, and they are relatively  
10                  small compared to the expenses and burden of individual prosecutions  
11                  of this litigation.
- 12                  vi. Plaintiffs, like the overwhelming majority of other class members,  
13                  were punched into the time keeping system for more hours than they  
14                  were actually paid. Plaintiffs are former employees with a claim for  
15                  penalty wages. Plaintiffs lost time due to rounding which should have  
16                  been compensated at their statutory overtime rates because they were  
17                  sometimes clocked in to the timekeeping system for more than 40  
18                  hours in a week, but were not paid for some hours in excess of 40  
19                  hours per week at the overtime rate due to rounding.

- 20
- 21                  a. Adequacy: Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the

22   Class because:

- 23                  i. There is no conflict between Plaintiffs' claims and those of the other  
24                  Class Members.

1           ii. Plaintiffs acknowledge that they have an obligation to make known to  
2           the Court any relationship, conflicts or differences with any Class  
3           Member.

4           iii. Plaintiffs agree to actively participate in the case and protect the  
5           interests of the putative Class Members.

6           iv. Plaintiffs have retained counsel experienced in handling wage-and-  
7           hour class actions who have already devoted substantial time and  
8           resources to investigating the Class Members' claims and who will  
9           vigorously prosecute this litigation.

10          v. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of Class Members in that  
11          their claims stem from the same practice and course of conduct that  
12          forms the basis of the class claims.

13           b.     Superiority: Class action adjudication is superior to other methods of  
14     adjudication for at least the following reasons:

15           i.     Common questions of law and fact predominate over questions  
16           affecting only individual members, and the questions affecting  
17           individuals primarily involve calculations of individual damages. Such  
18           questions include, but are not limited to:

- 19                   1. Whether PeaceHealth rounds employee punches;
- 20                   2. Whether timeclock rounding is permitted under Oregon  
21                   law and, if so, under what circumstances;
- 22                   3. Whether PeaceHealth's timeclock rounding violated  
23                   Oregon law;
- 24                   4. Whether PeaceHealth acted willfully;
- 25

1                                   5. Whether PeaceHealth has paid employees all wages  
2                                   they are owed under Oregon law;

3                                   6. Whether PeaceHealth has paid former employees  
4                                   whose employment terminated within three years of the  
5                                   filing of this complaint all wages owed and, if not,  
6                                   whether such failure was willful;

7                                   7. Whether PeaceHealth owes former employees whose  
8                                   employment terminated within three years of the filing  
9                                   of this complaint penalty wages.

10                               ii. The prosecution of separate actions by the Class Members could either  
11                               result in inconsistent adjudications establishing incompatible pay  
12                               practices or, as a practical matter, dispose of the legal claims of Class  
13                               Members who are not parties to such separate adjudications.

14                               iii. Individual Class Members would have little interest in controlling the  
15                               litigation due to the relatively small size of most claims, and because  
16                               Plaintiffs and their attorneys will vigorously pursue the claims on  
17                               behalf of the Class Members.

18                               iv. A class action will be an efficient method of adjudicating the claims of  
19                               the Class Member employees.

20                               c. Public Policy Considerations: Employers in Oregon regularly violate  
21                               wage and hour and other employment laws. The value of individual  
22                               employees' claims is often small as compared with the relative cost of  
23                               litigation. Current employees are often afraid to assert their rights out of  
24                               fear of retaliation. Class actions provide putative Class Members who are  
25



not named in the Complaint with a type of anonymity that allows for the  
vindication of their rights while at the same time protecting their privacy.

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**FAILURE TO PAY REGULAR WAGES OWED IN VIOLATION OF OREGON LAW**  
**(on behalf of Representative Plaintiffs in their individual capacity and the Class)**

14.

Plaintiffs incorporate each of the paragraphs above.

15.

PeaceHealth's practice of paying Plaintiffs and Class Members according to rounded  
hours, instead of actual punch times, over time deprived them of regular wages for all hours  
worked, in violation of ORS 652.120.

16.

As a result of PeaceHealth's acts and omissions, Plaintiffs and the Class Members have  
been damaged in amounts to be proven at trial.

**SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME WAGES**  
**(on behalf of Representative Plaintiffs in their individual capacities and the Class)**

17.

Plaintiffs incorporate each of the paragraphs above.

18.

PeaceHealth's practice of paying Plaintiffs and Class Members according to rounded  
hours, instead of actual punch times, over time deprived them of overtime wages for all hours  
worked, in violation of ORS 653.261(1) and OAR 839-020-0030.

19.

As a result of PeaceHealth's acts and omissions, Plaintiffs and the Class Members, have  
been damaged in amounts to be proven at trial.

1 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**  
2 **PENALTY WAGES FOR FAILURE TO TIMELY PAY FINAL WAGES**  
(on behalf of Representative Plaintiffs in their individual capacities and the Class)

3 20.

4 Plaintiffs incorporate each of the paragraphs above.

5 21.

6 PeaceHealth's practice of paying Plaintiffs and members of the class who are former  
7 employees according to rounded hours, instead of actual punch times, violated ORS 652.140  
8 because PeaceHealth did not pay Plaintiffs or Class Members all wages due by the deadline set  
9 forth in the statute. PeaceHealth's failure to pay wages was willful. Accordingly, Plaintiffs and  
10 Class Members are entitled to 30 days of penalty wages pursuant to ORS 652.150. Plaintiffs  
11 gave notice of these claims as required by statute on or about February 8, 2021.

12 22.

13 As a result of PeaceHealth's acts and omissions, Plaintiffs and Class Members have been  
14 damaged in amounts to be proven at trial.

15 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**  
16 **PENALTY WAGES FOR FAILURE TO TIMELY PAY OVERTIME WAGES**  
17 (on behalf of Representative Plaintiffs in their individual capacity and the Class)

18 23.

19 Plaintiffs incorporate each of the paragraphs above.

20 24.

21 PeaceHealth's practice of paying Plaintiffs and Class Members according to rounded  
22 hours, instead of actual punch times, violated ORS 653.261(1) because PeaceHealth did not pay  
23 Plaintiffs or Class Members at the appropriate overtime rate for all compensable hours in excess  
24 of 40 hours per week. PeaceHealth's failure to pay overtime was willful. Accordingly, Plaintiffs  
25

1 and former employee Class Members are entitled to 30 days of penalty wages pursuant to ORS  
2 653.055(b).

3 25.

4 As a result of PeaceHealth's acts and omissions, Plaintiffs and Class Members have been  
5 damaged in amounts to be proven at trial.

6 \* \* \*

7 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiffs pray for relief as follows:

- 8 A. That this action be certified as a Class Action;
- 9 B. That Ashley DeWitt and Tracey Davies be appointed as representatives of  
10 the Class Members;
- 11 C. That the undersigned counsel for Plaintiffs be appointed as Class Counsel;
- 12 D. A judgment awarding Plaintiffs and Class Members compensatory damages  
13 in the form of regular wages, overtime wages and penalty wages, all in an amount to be  
14 proven at trial, together with prejudgment interest at the maximum rate allowed by law;
- 15 C. An order requiring PeaceHealth to immediately cease the wrongful conduct  
16 as set forth above;
- 17 D. Reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to ORS 652.200; and
- 18 E. Whatever further and additional relief the court shall deem just and  
19 equitable.  
20

21  
22 DATED this 10th day of March, 2022.

23 **HKM EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS LLP**

24 By: /s/ Jason A. Rittereiser

Jason A. Rittereiser, OSB No. 211298

jrittereiser@hkm.com

25 Phone: (206) 838-2504

**STUTHEIT KALIN LLC**

Peter Stutheit, OSB No. 061248

peter@stutheitkalin.com

Kyann Kalin, OSB No. 060754

kyann@stutheitkalin.com

Maria Witt, OSB No. 145573

maria.witt@stutheitkalin.com

Phone: (503) 493-7488

Fax: (503) 715-5670

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I filed the foregoing **THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT** with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send electronic notification of such filing to the following:

Timothy W. Snider, OSB No. 034577  
timothy.snider@stoel.com  
Melissa J. Healy, OSB No. 102176  
melissa.healy@stoel.com  
Anthony Blake, OSB No. 163446  
anthony.blake@stoel.com  
STOEL RIVES LLP  
760 SW Ninth Avenue, Suite 3000  
Portland, OR 97205  
Telephone: 503.224.3380  
Facsimile: 503.220.2480

*Attorneys for Defendant*

**DATED:** March 10, 2022.

/s/ Klarisse Leonor

Klarisse Leonor, Paralegal

**HKM EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS LLP**